PATIENT AFTER CARDIAC INFARCTION: RISK FACTORS THAT CAN CAUSE NEW CARDIOVASCULAR DISASTERS

I.A. Novikova, L.A. Nekrutenko, T.M. Lebedeva, O.V. Kchlynova, E.A. Shishkina

E.A. Vagner's Perm State Medical University of the RF Public Healthcare Ministry, 26 Petropavlovsyaya Str., Perm, 614000, Russian Federation

Over recent years there has been a trend for a decrease in lethality during an acute cardiac infarction period; but at the same time there is a growth in a number of patients who run high risks of recurrent adverse cardiovascular events. Despite secondary prevention measures having been introduced, frequency of recurrent cardiac infarctions is still high, and most of them occur during the first year. Our research goal was to reveal basic risk factors that cause recurrent adverse cardiovascular events in patients during the first year after a cardiac infarction. We questioned 40 patients living in Perm who had had cardiac infarctions from September 2017 to July 2018 and who were undergoing polyclinic rehabilitation. To perform this questioning, we applied an original questionnaire.

We revealed that men prevailed among patients with cardiac infarction. The first infarction usually occurs in early 60ties. Ischemic heart disease starts with cardiac infarction in 45% patients. We detected that at least one risk factor occurred for all the patients; the most widely spread risk factors in the given population were burdened heredity, low physical activity, overweight, uncontrolled arterial hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. We also revealed in our research that less than 50% patients were committed to treatment and it was primarily due to low awareness about the necessity to take medications and also due to these medications being hardly affordable for patients. Therefore, patients who suffered from cardiac infarction and are undergoing polyclinic rehabilitation run an elevated risk of recurrent cardiovascular events during the first year and it means that secondary prevention measures are not efficient. When treating patients with cardiac infarction, we should optimize interaction between a physician and a patient and pay greater attention to a patient being committed to treatment.
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Cardiac infarction (CI) is the most severe type of ischemic heart disease (IHD). Nevertheless, there have been positive trends detected recently in treating patients with acute CI such as percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) which are performed promptly and early application of antithrombocytic medications, beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs, inhibitors of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE), and statins. It has led to a reduction in lethality that is observed at present [1-4]. However, longer life span and a growth in number of patients who have survived after their first CI results in greater risks of new cardiovascular disasters.

In spite of secondary prevention activities being implemented in significant scopes, frequency of recurrent CI still remains high. As per data provided by different authors, patients with recurrent CI account for 14-41.6% of the overall number of people who have been hospitalized with diagnosed CI [5-8]. Up to 40% of all recurrent CI occur during the first year [9]. As a rule, patients with recurrent CI run higher risks of unfavorable outcome [8, 10-11] due to a greater number of probable complications. Still, most scales showing CI risks usually give an idea of only a short-term forecast [12-14], and when such patients are provided with am-
bulatory care, comparatively small attention is paid to assessing their risk factors [15].

As per data taken from an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) register in Kemerovo region [16], 26.5% of all patients observed for 5 years had recurrent CI, 40% of all these disease cases occurred during the first year, and it confirms data provided by the Emergency Cardiology Department at Sklifosovskiy Research Institute of Emergency Care which are given above [9]. Recurrent CI resulted in fatal outcome in 18.4% cases. Authors spot out several risk factors that can cause recurrent CI; they are age, poor education and low standard of living, hypodynamia, and multi-focal atherosclerosis. Patients with recurrent CI can have other risk factors such as family case history burdened with IHD (70%), hypercholesterolemia (60.8%), arterial hypertension (68%), overweight or obesity (71.4%), smoking (61.6%), and pancreatic diabetes (20.8%). However, there were no significant discrepancies as per most above-mentioned parameters between patients with recurrent CI and those who had their first CI.

A prospective cohort study accomplished in Novosibirsk revealed that 31.7% recurrent CI also occurred during the first year [17]. Men prevailed among patients with recurrent CI (59.2%); arterial hypertension occurred in 96.1% cases; smoking, 38.8%; heredity burdened as per arterial hypertension and IHD, 76.3% and 52.5% respectively; average level of total cholesterol amounted to 5.9±1.2 mmol/l, average body mass index (BMI) was equal to 32.2±4.8 kg/m².

In Yakutia, risk factors prevalence also remains high among patients after their first CI. 12 months after CI 62.2% have hypercholesterolemia; 35.6%, carbohydrate metabolism disorders; 26.7%, BMI ≥30 kg/m²; 75.6% have abdominal obesity, and 46.7% patients smoke [18].

Let's overview some foreign data. A study accomplished in Albania revealed that patients with recurrent CI more frequently suffered from heart failure, low ejection fraction of the left ventricle, and multi-vessel coronary artery disease [19]. They were less frequently given medications with a solid evidential base and underwent revascularization rather rarely. But overall, prevalence of risk factors (arterial hypertension, hypercholesterolemia) practically had no discrepancies in patients with recurrent CI and those with their first CI.

A study performed in China revealed some independent risk factors that could cause recurrent CI; they were age, pancreatic diabetes, and reperfusion [20]. In-hospital lethality was higher among patients with recurrent CI.

We should note that some foreign researchers assess risk factors only for combined end points without separately spotting out recurrent CI. Thus, a study performed in Sweden called HELICON highlighted the following risk factors of unfavorable cardiovascular events in patients a year after CI: age (60 and older), pancreatic diabetes, heart failure, and a failure to conduct revascularization during CI [21].

So, most authors indicate several risk factors that can cause recurrent CI; they are age, hypercholesterolemia, carbohydrate metabolism disorders, overweight, smoking, heart failure, and failure to conduct revascularization.

International recommendations on how to treat patients with CI include secondary prevention that involves changes in a lifestyle (giving up smoking, losing weight until BMI is within standards, etc.), taking statins, applying double antithrombotic therapy, taking drugs that block renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs. 4S, CARE and LIPID research revealed that when patients who had cardiac infarction in their case history took statins, it lead to a 30% decrease in lethality, new cardiac infarction cases, and necessity in myocardial revascularization [22-24]. A significant decrease in lethality resulted from patients taking aspirin as it was shown in ISIS-2 research [25]. When drugs that blocked P2Y12-receptors of platelets (clopidogrel, ticagrelor) were added to aspirin, it led to even greater reduction in lethality [26, 27]. ACE inhibitors were also thoroughly examined, and positive effects produced by them that caused greater decrease in lethality were described in detail [28, 29]. Nowadays they should be obligatory applied.
for treating patients suffering from CI with a rise in ST segment, with front CI, lower left ventricular ejection fraction, heart failure, arterial hypertension, and pancreatic diabetes. Beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs reduce oxygen requirements by the cardiac muscle and improve blood flow redistribution from the epicardium to the cardiac muscle thus making an infarct zone smaller and stimulating patients' survival. Clinical research demonstrated a considerable decrease in lethality as well as reduced number of ventricular arrhythmia and less frequent recurrent infarctions [30]. These effects were persistent over a long-term period when beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs were taken orally.

But still, patients' commitment to following recommendations given by their doctors remains rather poor. Meanwhile, there are data indicating that if a patient stops taking necessary medications after CI, it results in higher morbid risks; for example, OR was equal to 1.82 after aspirin withdrawal; 1.96, beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs withdrawal; 2.86, statins withdrawal; and 3.81 after three medications withdrawal (aspirin, beta-adrenoceptor blocking drug, and statin) [31]. Some authors consider poor commitment to treatment as a risk factor that can cause recurrent ischemic disasters. Thus, the above mentioned ACS register in Kemerovo region contains data on only 28.8% with recurrent CI who received double antithrombotic therapy during a year; over a 5-year period 22% patients didn't take statins, and 16% didn't take beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs [16]. As per data taken from the Russian register RECORD, 18% patients who had CI stopped their treatment with double antithrombotic therapy during the first year after the disease [32]. Research that focused on assessing commitment to therapy after Q-positive CI among Yakuts revealed a decrease in number of patients who took drugs by the end of the first year; thus, only 45% took aspirin; 40%, a drug that blocked P2Y12-receptors of platelets; 41%, beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs; 33%, ACE inhibitors/sartans; 39%, statins [18].

**Our research goal** was to detect basic risk factors that could cause recurrent cardiovascular disasters in patients during the first year after cardiac infarction in a big industrial city.

**Data and methods.** Our research object was Perm, a regional center with 1 million population, a territory with industrial and transport burdens being typical for Russia. We set the following basic criteria for patients to be included into our study: cardiac infarction diagnosed according to the Third universal definition of cardiac infarction [33]; absence of acute pathology, exacerbations of chronic diseases, arrhythmias, systemic diseases, and grave disorders in liver and kidneys functioning. To perform our study, we applied an original questionnaire. Overall, 40 patients were questioned; they all had had cardiac infarction from 3 to 12 months prior to the study (from September 2017 to July 2018) and were undergoing polyclinic rehabilitation. All participants permanently lived in Perm. We analyzed the following parameters: sex; age; applying to a doctor due to a cardiovascular disease (CVD) prior to CI; time which passed since the first symptoms occurred till a patient applied for medical aid; revascularization procedure; what drugs were recommended by a doctor; whether a patient followed a doctor's recommendations or not; heredity; body mass index; physical activity; blood pressure; total cholesterol in blood; dextrose in blood; smoking status.

We assessed burdened heredity as per IHD cases in a patient's family which occurred in men younger than 55 and women younger than 65. BMI was calculated as per Quetelet formula. According to WHO recommendations, we considered BMI equal to 25-30 kg/m² an overweight; 30-35 kg/m², Obesity Class I; 35-40 kg/m², Obesity Class II, 40 kg/m² and more, Obesity Class III. Physical activity was considered to be low if a patient spent less than 30 minutes a day on it. Blood pressure equal to ≥140/90 mm Hg meant a patient had arterial hypertension; if total cholesterol in blood was ≥4 mmol/l, we considered that a patient had hypercholesterolemia given a very high risk of cardiovascular complications after CI; dextrose in venous blood plasma equal to ≥6.1 mmol/l meant there was a disorder in dextrose level in blood plasma on an empty stomach.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men, %</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women, %</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total, year</td>
<td>65.7±9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men, years</td>
<td>63.5±7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women, years</td>
<td>68.3±12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended a doctor due to cardiac pathology prior to cardiac infarction share, %</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac infarction in case history share, %</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to treatment before cardiac infarction share, %</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average period of time that passed before a patient applied for a medical aid hours</td>
<td>111.5±201.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment tactics during hospitalization drug therapy, share, %</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stenting, share, %</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coronary artery bypass surgery, share, %</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to treatment after cardiac infarction share, %</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors burdened heredity, share, %</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body mass index ≥25 kg/m², share, %</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking, share, %</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low physical activity, share, %</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial hypertension, share, %</td>
<td>43.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypercholesterolemia, share, %</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dextrose in blood plasma on an empty stomach ≥6.1 mmol/l, share, %</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations and graphical analysis were performed with applied Microsoft Excel software. Quantitative parameters are given as a simple mean ± standard deviation; qualitative parameters are given as frequencies in per cent.

Results and discussion. Table 1 contains an overall characteristics of patients who had cardiac infarction.

Men prevailed in this group of patients with 55% against 45% women. IHD revealed itself for the first time with CI in 45% patients and it is in line with data obtained in the country as a whole [34]. The first CI occurs in the first half of the 6th decade, or at 63.8±9.7, and it usually happens a bit earlier to men (63.5±7.6) than to women (64.0±12.3 лет). The obtained data are quite similar to sex and age structure of patients with CI included into RECORD 3 register in Perm region [35, 36].

A greater attention should be paid to insufficient primary PCI as only 55% patients underwent such procedures. This is probably due to patients applying for medical aid too late when a lot of time has passed since the first symptoms occurred (Figure 1). About one third of patients are placed in a hospital after two or even more days and it is beyond a time span suitable for performing primary PCI [37].

![Figure 1](image.png)

Figure 1. Time that passed from the first symptoms to a moment of applying for medical aid

We analyzed risk factors prevalence in patients during rehabilitation after CI and revealed that at least one risk factor was present in all of them. More than a half patients had burdened heredity as per cardiovascular dis-
ease; one fourth had low physical activity in spite of not having any concomitant somatic pathology. Each seventh patient was an active smoker. 80% patients measured their blood pressure regularly and its values didn't correspond to the standard in half of them.

60% patients knew dextrose level in their blood, but only 45% knew the figure for total cholesterol. One third of patients who controlled these parameters had disorders in dextrose level on an empty stomach, and more than half of them had hypercholesterolemia. 31.6% patients had overweight; 10.5%, Obesity Class I; 21.1%, Obesity Class II; 5.3%, Obesity Class III.

We analyzed patients' commitment to treatment and revealed that more than a half patients who had undergone CI didn't follow their doctors' recommendations. Men were less committed to treatment than women, with 41.7% against 50%. Patients were the least committed to taking statins and drugs that blocked P2Y12-receptors of platelets (Figure 2). We should note that such drugs are recommended to be taken by all patients who had CI as opposed to, for example, ACE inhibitors as such drugs are to be taken only in some specific situations described above. As regards reasons for low commitment to treatment, we should highlight that more than one third of patients stated they were not sufficiently aware of the necessity to take drugs. Each fifth patient also mentioned that affordability of a drug was truly important (Figure 3).

**Conclusions.** So, patients who had cardiac infarction and who are undergoing polyclinic rehabilitation run high risks of recurrent cardiovascular disasters during the first year. An examined group of risk factors includes both factors that can't be modified and factors that can; among the latter we can mention such most frequent ones as low physical activity, overweight, hypercholesterolemia, and arterial hypertension. Such prevalence of risk factors indicates that secondary prevention activities are not efficient.

**Conclusion.** Efficient treatment of patients with CI during rehabilitation is truly vital nowadays and it should necessarily include elimination or correction of risk factors that can cause recurrent cardiovascular disasters. Patients' life quality and long-term forecast of their survival depend on it to a great extent.

Low commitment to treatment, both before and after CI, is a basic problem related to secondary prevention. It occurs, first of all, due to doctors not giving their patients enough information about significance and necessity of taking drugs and correcting risk factors that can be modified.

Let us consider foreign experience in the sphere. Thus, in the USA highly qualified nurse practitioners are in great demand due to physicians being overloaded with work. Such specialists, in case of necessity, can provide uninterrupted observation over patients and make healthcare more available. First, a doctor examines a patient with CI after a release from a hospital. At this stage he or she determines what patients have the gravest problems, run risks of high co-morbidity and therefore need more frequent observation. A team approach to ambulatory care is applied to such patients; it means that highly qualified nurse practitioners help doctors monitor health of such patients and teach them how to pursue a healthier

![Figure 2. Patients' commitment to taking various drugs](image)

![Figure 3. Reasons for low commitment to treatment](image)
lifestyle. It can make for more efficient secondary prevention; in particular, greater commitment to taking statins was detected and more patients decided to give up smoking [38].

Similar practice was also implemented within Leonardo project in Apulia, Italy, where medical care managers, or specially trained nurses, took care of patients who suffered from grave chronic cardiovascular disease and pancreatic diabetes. Their responsibilities were to correct patients' lifestyle, to observe their health state and to provide them with necessary medical information. It resulted in great improvement of clinical parameters among patients who could now control their diseases more efficiently [39].

Low commitment to treatment is dealt with in Norway via greater inclusions of patients into cardiorehabilitation programs, more detailed information provided in leaflets given by general practitioners as regards risk factors, treatment goals, quantity and periodicity of visits to a doctor, as well as greater attention drawn to a smoking status of a patient [40].

In Taiwan great attention is paid to a period of time just after a release from a hospital as a patient is the most vulnerable during it [41]. A patient should visit a doctor during the first 7 days after a release from a hospital, and it should be noted, that in most cases a doctor who treated a patient in a hospital, continues to observe him or her afterwards. It helps to reduce a number of recurrent hospitalization as a doctor is better aware of some individual peculiarities a patient has and it also makes drug therapy more efficient.

These examples are quite interesting and can be implemented in Russia. Doctors in hospitals and polyclinics should pay greater attention to consulting their patients and clarifying treatment goals to them; they should give them written instructions with clear indication how often to visit a doctor, what changes to make in a lifestyle, and what drugs to take, and the effect will be better if a doctor and a patient first talk all these matters over, at least briefly. It is also advisable that a patient is attended to by the same doctor during the whole period of ambulatory care since it guarantees that this doctor is better aware about dynamics of a patient's state and knows what peculiarities a clinical course of the diseases has in this particular patient. If functional responsibilities carried by nurses are extended as regards observation over cardiologyc patients who run higher risks, it can also be quite useful as it will allow to relieve doctors of some workloads and to provide greater commitment to treatment. Therefore, if we manage to optimize interaction between medical staff and patients, it will help to manage risks of recurrent cardiovascular disasters more efficiently.
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