METHODOLOGICAL PROVISION OF THE INDIVIDUAL RISK ASSESSMENT OF LUNG DISEASE ASSOCIATED WITH EXPOSURE TO DUST FACTOR OF WORKPLACE ON THE BASE PF EVOLUTIONARY MODELS
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Abstract. As the development of semi-quantitative method for risk assessment of lung disease progression due to occupational exposure to the dust factor, the risk forecast method has been proposed, which bases on the evolutionary modeling. The proposed method has a number of advantages associated with variable working conditions of workers in relation to dust concentrations in the breathing zone and the intensity of the labor process. The proposed methodology allows performing calculations at various time steps, and consider the intervals between shifts and exit out of the exposure area, risk reduction during the holiday weekend, as well as for long periods of time (vacation, breaks in work). For use in the practice the simplified algorithm, which allows to perform risk assessment on the basis of special tabulations, has been suggested.
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Occupational diseases associated with exposure to aerosols (pneumoconiosis and pneumosclerosis, chronic bronchitis), over the years, have ranked third in frequency of occupational diseases in Russia [4].

For a large group of aerosols (aerosols from the disintegration of coal, carbon-based sprays, aerosols coke (coal, sand, oil shale), blacks, diamonds, carbon fiber materials, aerosols (dust) animal and vegetable origin, silicate dust, silicates, aluminosilicates, aerosols from disintegration and condensation of the metal, silicon dust) that do not have pronounced toxicity, it is important to allocate the fibrogenic effect on human body.
Since the requirement to completely remove hazardous substances from the working area of workers is often not feasible, hygienic regulation of harmful substances and compliance with the maximum allowable concentration (MAC) of harmful substances in the air of the working area become particularly important. If the level of dust in the working area exceeds the maximum permissible concentration, workers can face a risk of occupational diseases related to exposure to aerosols. Such risk should be evaluated, and the results taken into account in the development of ongoing treatment, preventative and rehabilitation activities [1].

Exposure assessment is an integral part of quantitative risk assessment. A general way to assess occupational risk related to dust is assessment of the dust concentration and duration of its effect. In real working environment, monitoring of the PFA (predominantly fibrogenic aerosols) level in the working area takes into account the fluctuations of the PFA level during a working shift, as reflected in GOST R 54578-2011 Predominantly fibrogenic aerosols. According to GOST R 54578-2011, exceeding MPCAs (average allowable concentration of dust in the working area per shift) calls for a calculation of the total dust load (DL) per employee, including variations in the actual average shift concentration in the working area during the contact with PFA. DL is a product of the actual average shift concentration in the working area, duration of professional contact with the PFA (years), the number of shifts worked in a calendar year under the impact of PFA, and pulmonary ventilation volume per shift (m3).

The current method involves comparing the obtained DL value with the control dust load (CDL) value, which is the product of the average allowable dust concentration in the working area per shift, duration of professional contact with the PFA (years), the number of shifts worked in a calendar year under the impact of PFA, and pulmonary ventilation volume per shift (m3). Results of the comparison of the actual dust load with the control dust load put the workplace either in an acceptable (safe) environment category or harmful environment category.

The method of semi-quantitative risk assessment indicated in GOST makes it possible to rank working environments which can later be used when developing medical and preventative programs. At the same time, evolutionary modelling is a more appropriate method when it comes to estimating individual professional risks, especially in conditions of variable factor exposure and longer breaks.

**Description of the method.** Individual professional risk of lung diseases associated with PFA exposure is assessed by calculating the index which takes into account the likelihood of disease and its severity as a characteristic of health damage. The calculation of risk is performed
using the equation:

\[ R = P \cdot g, \]  

(1)

where \( P \) – is the probability of a professional disease; \( g \) – the coefficient of disease severity.

Disease severity is indicated with the values recommended by WHO (1994).

– \( g=0.4 \) for pneumoconiosis;
– \( g=0.5 \) for chronic respiratory diseases.

Calculation of the probability of a professional lung disease associated with lung exposure should be conducted based on the evolutionary model analysis [2, 3]. Evolutionary model is presented in the form of recurrent ratios allowing organizing an iterative computational procedure based on time intervals and reflecting the change in the probability of a disease associated with dust exposure.

\[
P_{t+1} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{P_t + \beta \left( \frac{q \cdot Kc_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}_i}{1 - \beta \left( \frac{q \cdot Kc_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}_i} & \text{if } 0 < \beta \left( \frac{q \cdot Kc_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}_i \leq 1 \\
0 & \text{if } \beta \left( \frac{q \cdot Kc_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}_i < 0 \\
1 & \text{if } \beta \left( \frac{q \cdot Kc_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}_i \geq 1 
\end{cases} \tag{2}
\]

Where \( P_{t+1} \) – is the probability of an occupational disease at a time interval \( t+1 \); \( P_t \) – probability of an occupational disease at a time interval \( t \); \( \beta \) – factor that characterizes the change in the probability of a disease associated with dust exposure depending on the fibrogene level. For dusts with a low fibrogen content \( \beta = 0.0021 \), for dusts with a moderate/high fibrogen content \( \beta = 0.005 \); \( KC_i \) – average dust concentration of \( i \) substance for the period of time that equals the time interval, in the workplace breathing area, mg/m\(^3\); \( MAC \) – maximum allowable concentration of \( i \) substance in the workplace breathing area, mg/m\(^3\); \( q \) – factor that depends on labor intensity and reflects a possible dose, proportional to the volume of lung ventilation per shift and taking the following values:

– 0.4 for light work;
– 0.7 for moderate work;
C – time empirical factor that corresponds with the time interval as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0,000114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A variation of the recurrent ratio (2) with the account for the complexity of dust content in the workplace breathing area that impacts the probability of occupational diseases can be presented in the following form:

\[
P_{t+1} = \begin{cases} 
    P_t + \beta \left( \sum q \cdot \frac{K_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}, & \text{if } < P_t + \beta \left( \sum q \cdot \frac{K_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}, \leq 1 \\
    + \beta \left( \sum q \cdot \frac{K_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}, , \leq 0 \\
    1 + \beta \left( \sum q \cdot \frac{K_i}{MAC_i} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot \bar{N}, \geq 1 
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

Ratio (2) is used for the dusts of the same fibrogenic level. In the event when a working area has dusts of various fibrogenic levels, it is necessary to make calculations for each of the fibrogenic levels.

Recurrent ratios (2), (3) allows for a consequent calculation of the value of disease probability at various time intervals beginning from the reference level. The reference level of the probability of occupation disease associated with a hazardous impact of the dust factor corresponds to a zero stage under PFA exposure and equals zero:

\[
P_0 = 0. 
\]

(4)

On the basis of the ratio (2) or (3) and the reference level (4), it is then possible to make calculations at the subsequent time intervals: P1, P2, P3, P4, etc.

Selection of a time interval in the calculations that use recurrent ratios relations depends on the particularization of exposure. With permanent dust exposure during the entire period of work, the time interval equals one year. With variable exposure, the time interval must
correspond to the period of cyclicity, i.e. in the presence of cycles of changes in the values of
dust concentration of more than 1 month, 1 month interval is selected, for any changes in a week
or a month - 1 day, for changes during a shift - 1 hour.

The average dust concentration per time interval (Kci) that corresponds with the time
interval is calculated using the following equation:

\[ K_{c_i} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} K_{t_i}}{n} \]  

(5)

where Kti – dust concentration of i substance per t hour, mg/m³; n – hours in the time
interval.

Calculation of the probability of occupational diseases under PFA exposure based on the
recurrent ratios makes it possible to account for the irregular nature of dust exposure during a
time interval. At the same time, it takes into account not only the level of exposure that can
change during the shift, but also the duration of in-between shifts period.

The following scale is used in the assessment of occupational risk:
0–1·10–3 – low (moderate) risk (in guidance P 2.1.10.1920–04 – individual risk in the
course of a lifetime more than 1·10–4, but less than 1·10–3 is indicated as acceptable for
professional groups);
1·10–3 – 1·10–2 – average (significant) risk;
1·10–2 – 1·10–1 – high (intolerable) risk;
1 – very high (intolerable) risk.

Example. To demonstrate the practical application of the risk assessment method based
on evolutionary models, we shall calculate the risk of chronic toxic bronchitis from vanadium-
containing slag and dust among workers under permanent exposure to vanadium-containing
dusts, for the three levels of average-shift concentration: 4 mg/mg³, 5.5 mg/mg³, 20 mg/mg³.

The value \( \PiDK_{cc} \) for vanadium-containing slag and dust – 4 mg/mg³.

The calculation included three possible exposure scenarios:
– first scenario \( K_{cc} =4 \) mg/mg³ (maximum allowable concentration);
– second scenario \( K_{cc} =5.5 \) mg/mg³ (slightly higher than the maximum allowable
concentration);
– third scenario \( K_{cc} =20 \) mg/mg³ (5-fold higher than the exposure level).
It is assumed that the calculation is made for the employment period beginning at the age of 20, with a high level of labor intensity (q=1).

The value $Kcc$ for each of the scenarios is considered constant during the entire employment period.

In this case, it is necessary to make calculations with the time interval of 1 year (C=1) for each of the scenarios. The average annual concentration can be calculated using the following formula:

$$Kc = \frac{Kcc \cdot n_1 \cdot n_2}{24 \cdot 365},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

when $n_1$ – shift duration, hours;
$n_2$ – number of shifts per year.

If $n_1=8$ hours and $n_2=251$ shifts, then $Kc = Kcc \cdot 0.23$. Then for the first scenario $Kc=0.92$; for the second scenario $Kc=1,265$; for the third scenario $Kc=4.6$. The distribution of the average annual exposure in time is shown in Figure 1.

Vanadium-containing dusts are considered to be of low fibrogenic content; that is why $\beta=0.0021$. The probability of occupation disease is calculated based on the following formula:

$$P_{i+1} = P_i + 0.0021 \left( \frac{Kc}{\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \cdot 1,$$

Reference value $P_t=20=0$.

Since the severity of chronic bronchitis $g=0.5$, the risk of occupational disease is calculated based on the following formula:

$$R = P_i \cdot 0.5.$$

The chronic bronchitis risk curve is shown in Figure 2.
Below are alternative calculations with shorter time intervals, to demonstrate the method. The time interval of 1 day is characterized by changing exposure with a weekly cyclicity. In this case, in a standard workweek (Monday – Friday), the average daily concentration \( K_c = K_{cc} \cdot 0.33 \); on the weekend (Saturday – Sunday) \( K_c = 0 \). The graphic form of such exposure is shown in Figure 3. Here the risk dynamics takes the form of a polyline (Figure 4).

The graphs show the factor dynamics from the start of employment.

Similarly, the calculations for the time interval of 1 hour are shown (Figures 5, 6). Here, the average hourly exposure at work equals the average shift exposure \( K_c = K_{cc} \); in non-working hours \( K_c = 0 \).

As the average shift exposure is constant, the calculations with the time interval of 1 day and 1 hour for the whole calculation period will yield the results presented in Figure 2.
Reduced calculation of the individual risk in workers. In the event of permanent dust exposure or long-term employment with permanent exposure, it is possible to calculate the individual risk of an occupational disease in workers using a reduced calculation method. This method uses canned tables that contain the values of the individual risk of a professional disease in workings depending on the employment period and exposure level as compared to MAC.

Step 1. Assess the exposure by determining the average annual relative dust load factor using the ratio:

\[ DL_C = \frac{K_{cc} \cdot n_1 \cdot n_2}{MAC \cdot 24 \cdot 365 \cdot q} \]  

(7)

where DLC – average annual relative dust load coefficient; Kcc – average shift concentration; n1 – shift duration, hours; n2 – number of shifts per year; q – quotient that depends on the work intensity and reflects a probably dose, proportionate to the volume of lung ventilation per shift and taken as:

– 0.4 for light work;
– 0.7 for moderate work;
– 1 for heavy work.

Step 2. Based on the DLC value and the length of employment under permanent...
exposure, use Figures 7-10 to determine the value of the individual risk of a professional disease in workers. The disease risk value is at the intersection of the row that indicates employment, and column that shows the DLC (exposure).

Fig. 7. Values of the individual disease risk as a function of time and exposure to PFA (dusts of low fibrogenic content), disease severity equals 0.4

Fig. 8. Values of the individual disease risk as a function of time and exposure to PFA (dusts of low fibrogenic content), disease severity equals 0
Fig. 9. Values of the individual disease risk as a function of time and exposure to PFA (dusts of high and moderate fibrogene content), disease severity equals 0.4

Fig. 10. Values of the individual disease risk as a function of time and exposure to PFA (dusts of high and moderate fibrogene content), disease severity equals 0.5

If the calculated DLC is not found in the table, then the individual risk of a professional disease is calculated using the two adjacent for DLC probabilities according to the formula:
where DLC – average annual relative dust load coefficient; DLCL – lower value of DLC in the table; DLCH – higher value of DLC in the table; $R^L_i$ – individual risk of a professional disease that corresponds with the lower DLC value in the table (DLCL); $R^H_i$ – individual risk of a professional disease that corresponds with the higher DLC value in the table (DLCH)

Step 3. If the general employment period of a worker can be divided into intervals which vary by the level of dust exposure, then the individual risk of a professional disease shall be calculated as a sum of risks for the individual intervals:

$$R = R^1 + R^2 + R^3 + ..., \quad (9)$$

where $R_1, R_2, R_3, ...$ is an individual risk of a professional disease at various intervals of the employment period.

Step 4. If a worker has an extended break in the course of employment, then the accumulated individual risk of a professional disease can decrease. The value of decrease in the individual risk of a professional disease can be found in Table 2 in accordance with the necessary time interval.

Table 2

<p>| Decrease in the probability of a disease due to a break in the work under dust exposure |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Employment, years</strong></th>
<th><strong>Decrease in the probability of a disease (severity 0.4)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Decrease in the probability of a disease (severity 0.5)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dusts of low fibrogene content</td>
<td>Dusts of high/moderate fibrogene content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12</td>
<td>-0.00002</td>
<td>-0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.00028</td>
<td>-0.00068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.00056</td>
<td>-0.00132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.00084</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.00112</td>
<td>-0.00268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.0014</td>
<td>-0.00332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.00168</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.00196</td>
<td>-0.00468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.00224</td>
<td>-0.00532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.00252</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.0028</td>
<td>-0.00668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.00308</td>
<td>-0.00732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.00336</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.00364</td>
<td>-0.00868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.00392</td>
<td>-0.00932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.0042</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.00448</td>
<td>-0.01068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-0.00476</td>
<td>-0.01132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-0.00504</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The reduced method of calculation of the individual risk serves for making an approximate assessment, and the calculation procedures are brought to a minimum. To use the reduced method effectively, most of the complicated calculations are put into canned tables that contain not only the risk values, but also the risk categories, according to the assessment scale; they are highlighted.

In summary, the method based on the drawing and analysis of the evolutionary models can be used widely in the field of professional disease detection and treatment. With the help of this method, it is possible to make assessment calculations not only for permanent dust exposure, but also for various distributions of exposure values during a shift, workweek, and year. Additionally, recurrent ratios used in risk assessment make it possible to conduct retrospective assessments and estimations that take into account an individual history of contact of a worker with the dust factor.
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